SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, OCTOBER 10, 2013 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S083594

PEOPLE v. TRUJEQUE (TOMMY ADRIAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Christina A. Spaulding's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by December 10, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 10, 2013. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S107653

PEOPLE v. CRAWFORD (CHARLES EDWARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David Joseph Macher's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 31, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 3, 2013. After that date, only seven further extensions totaling about 395 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S118147

PEOPLE v. MIRANDA-GUERRERO (VICTOR M.)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Kristine A. Gutierrez's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by April 8, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 9, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S136171

PEOPLE v. WESSON (MARCUS DELON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark E. Cutler's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by June 30, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 9, 2013. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 204 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S137730

PEOPLE v. POWELL (TROY LINCOLN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel R. Clayton Seaman Jr.'s representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by June 11, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 10, 2013. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S141274

PEOPLE v. ABBOTT (JOE HENRY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Gregory L. Rickard's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by March 20, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 9, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 100 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S149039

PEOPLE v. AGUAYO (JOSEPH MORENO)

Application to file over-length brief denied

Appellant's request for permission to file an opening brief in excess of the 102,000 word limit is denied.

S164370

PEOPLE v. VOLARVICH (BRENDT ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 2, 2013.

S167010

PEOPLE v. ARIAS (LORENZO INEZ) & MENDOZA (LUIS A.)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Luis A. Mendoza and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 3, 2013.

S198132

PEOPLE v. FAYED (JAMES MICHAEL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Kelly C. Quinn's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by January 23, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 9, 2013. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 45 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S199918

DEHOYOS (RICHARD LUCIO) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is granted to December 2, 2013. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Counsel is ordered to take all steps necessary to meet this schedule.

S203288

AVILA, JR., (JOHNNY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant Federal Defender Harry Simon's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by March 4, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 6, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S211702 G046129 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. EID (REYNALDO JUNIOR)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to November 18, 2013.

S212998

BARNETT (LEE MAX) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Stephanie A. Mitchell's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by January 16, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 2, 2013. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 45 additional days is contemplated.

S204804

PEABODY (SUSAN J.) v. TIME WARNER CABLE, INC.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted The application of Joseph W. Ozmer II for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of respondent is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S204804

PEABODY (SUSAN J.) v. TIME WARNER CABLE, INC.

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Michael D. Kabat for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of respondent is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S211702 G046129 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. EID (REYNALDO JUNIOR)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant Reynaldo Junior Eid for appointment of counsel, Richard J. Moller is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

S211702 G046129 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. EID (REYNALDO JUNIOR)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant Alaor Docarmo Oliveira for appointment of counsel, Siri Shetty is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,102)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

A139271 First Appellate District, Div. 5 OFFICE OF SONOMA
COUNTY SHERIFF v. DALE
(RANDOLPH)

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, is transferred from Division Five to Division One.

A139683 First Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. S.C. (DALE)** The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, is transferred from Division Three to Division One.

B251174 Second Appellate District GILBERT (ARTHUR) v.
CONTROLLER OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three.

SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, OCTOBER 10, 2013 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on Thursday, October 10, 2013, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye, presiding, and Associate Justices Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu.

Officers present: Frank A. McGuire, Clerk, and Gail Gray, Calendar Coordinator.

S199639 Los Angeles Unified School District, Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

Michael Garcia, Defendant and Respondent.

Cause called. Barrett K. Green argued for Appellant.

Delilah Vinzon argued for Respondent.

Julie Weng-Gutierrez, Office of the Attorney General, argued for

Amicus Curiae California Department of Education.

Mr. Green replied. Cause submitted.

S204409 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

David Edward Palmer, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Jean Marinovich, Court-appointed Counsel, argued for

Appellant.

Alisha M. Carlile, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Ms. Marinovich replied.

Cause submitted.

S195423 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Terry Vangelder, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Jonathan Lapin, Office of the City Attorney of San Diego, argued for Respondent.

Charles M. Sevilla, Court-appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant. Donald J. Bartell argued for Amicus Curiae California DUI Lawyers Association.

Mr. Lapin replied. Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.

S189462 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Thomas Raymond Shockley, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Gregory W. Brown, Court-appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.

Leanne Le Mon, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Brown replied. Cause submitted.

S118629 The People, Respondent,

v.

Robert Lee Williams, Jr., Appellant.

Cause called. Harry Caldwell, Court-appointed Counsel, argued

for Appellant.

Robin Urbanski, Office of the Attorney General, argued for

Respondent.

Mr. Caldwell replied. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.